UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,863	10/17/2003	Richard Allen	26998-292915	9575
25764 7590 04/10/2009 FAEGRE & BENSON LLP PATENT DOCKETING - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			BRADFORD, CANDACE L	
	90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

e-OfficeActionHNI@faegre.com dweiss@faegre.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,863	ALLEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CANDACE L. BRADFORD	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite and accomposite and accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomp	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

The request filed on 10/13/08 for a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Any previous finality is hereby withdrawn and a new action on the merits follows. Any newly-submitted claims have been added. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-23, 29, 30 and 32-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (5544689). Wegner discloses vertical jambs 40, comprising vertical channels, as best seen in Figure 1, a window sash movable in the vertical channels through a range of motion, a retractable screen assembly attached to the door extending and retracting across an opening created by movement of the window sash, the retractable screen assembly, a roller12, a flexible screen 16, positioned above the movable window sash and attached at a first and second end to the movable sash, a biasing mechanism/constant force spring 34, but fails to teach a biasing mechanism adapted to apply a torque to the roller generating a positioning force on the window sash equal to at least 5%-20%, 40%, 50%, 60% or 80% of the force of gravity acting on a window sash, such that the window sash can be positioned at a

desired location along the vertical channels, wherein once positioned the moveable sash is retained at the desired location by the positioning force until acted on by an external force other than the force of gravity. It is commonly known in the art that the biasing mechanism can be adjusted to that the positioning force comprises various percentages of the force of gravity acting on the window sash.

Therefore, it would have been further obvious in view of the structure advanced above to provide a method of operating a movable sash in a door, which can be slidably engaged/attached with a window sash, apply a positioning force to the window sash, retracting/drawing the flexible screen, retaining a portion of the flexible screen in the vertical channels, applying a breaking force when the sash moves in a downward direction, releasably engaging the sash positioning device with at least one contact surface, continuously applying torque to the roller, while producing no new and unexpected results.

Claims 3, 4, 11, 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (5544689) as applied to claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-22, 29 and 30 in view of Thomas et. al. (6618998). Wegner as advanced above fails to disclose a counterbalance system for a hollow core door as a lower window sash. Thomas teaches the utility of a counterbalance system, as recited in column 1, lines 32-34 and a hollow core door as recited in column 3, lines 56-60. The use of a hollow core door is commonly used in the art because they are lightweight and durable, the use of counterbalance allows for various positioning of the sash. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the window screen attachment

Art Unit: 3634

system of Wegner with a counterbalance system and a hollow core door as taught by Thomas et. al. so as to provide a light weight durable door and to allow for various positioning of the sash.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (5544689) as applied to claims 1, 2, 7-10, 12-22, 29 and 30 in view of Kissinger (6082432). Wegner as advanced above fails to disclose a frictional force acting between the window sash and the vertical channels. Kissinger teaches the utility of a frictional force as recited in column 4, lines 63-67. The use of frictional force is commonly used in the art to position the sash at various locations in the channels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the window screen attachment system of Wegner with the frictional force acting between the window sash and the channels as taught by Kissinger so as to allow for the window sash to be positioned at various locations in the channels.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (5544689) in view of Kissinger (6082432) as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 7-10, 12-22, 29 and 30 in view of Wegner (5787952). Wegner (689) in view of Kissinger as advanced above fails to disclose frictional force combined with torque to retain the window sash. Wegner (952) teaches the utility of torque in combination with frictional force is commonly used in the art to position and retain the sash at various locations in the channels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the window screen attachment system of Wegner (689) with the frictional force

Application/Control Number: 10/687,863 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

acting between the window sash and the channels as taught by Wegner (952) so as to allow for the window sash to be positioned at various locations in the channels.

Claims 24, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegner (5544689) in view of Starr (2350200). Wegner discloses a vertical jambs 40, comprising vertical channels comprising a upper window sash of a door, as best seen in Figure 1, a window sash movable in the vertical channels, a sash positioning device attached to the window sash and releasably engagable with at least one contact surface on the vertical channel, such that the sash positioning device can engage the vertical channel at an infinite number of locations, a retractable screen assembly attached to the door comprising, a roller 12, and a flexible screen 16, attached at a first end to the roller and at a second end to an upper edge of the movable window sash, a retraction mechanism providing a continuous positioning force on the window sash, but fails to disclose a deflection bar. Starr teaches the utility of a deflection bar 47, 48 positioned adjacent the roller 22, and engaging the flexible screen 25, the deflection bar providing a deflecting force to stretch the flexible screen tight and position the flexible screen in a desired plane, wherein the window sash comprises the upper window sash, wherein the retractable screen assembly is attached to the top and bottom of the door, as best seen in Figure 1. The use of a deflection bar is commonly used in the art to stretch a flexible screen. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the screen apparatus of Wegner with a deflection bar as taught by Starr so as to stretch the flexible screen.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/687,863 Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's attention is drawn to page 11 of the remarks. The applicant states the Wegner reference does not teach a window screen attached at the top of a window sash. The examiner would like to point out that the Wegner reference recited in column 1, line 61 that it is common for the window screen to be house/attached above the window. The applicant has stated the Wegner reference does not teach the limitation of claim 1 relating to the biasing mechanism acting upon the window sash. The examiner would like to state that the Wegner reference teaches a biasing mechanism 34, which acts upon a window sash. The examiner agrees a specific percentage of force of gravity is not stated in the Wegner reference but as stated above It is commonly known in the art that the biasing mechanism can be adjusted to that the positioning force comprises various percentages of the force of gravity acting on the window sash. Therefore, it would have been further obvious in view of the structure advanced above to provide a method of operating a movable sash in a door, which can be slidably engaged/attached with a window sash, apply a positioning force to the window sash, retracting/drawing the flexible screen, retaining a portion of the flexible screen in the vertical channels, applying a breaking force when the sash moves in a downward direction, releasably engaging the sash positioning device with at least one contact surface, continuously applying torque to the roller, while producing no new and unexpected results.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/687,863 Page 7

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CANDACE L. BRADFORD whose telephone number is (571)272-8967. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am until 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634

Candace L. Bradford Patent Examiner Art Unit 3634 March 28, 2009